

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/061,569	02/01/2002	Gordon Ko	5981	2762	
75	90 05/15/2003				
Boniard I. Brown			EXAMINER		
	ina Parkway, #113 A 91790-2793		ENGLE, PATRICIA LYNN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3612		
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	_			21
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/061,569	KO, GORDON	
Office Action Sun	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Patricia L Engle	3612	
The MAILING DATE of thi Period for Reply	s communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS (- Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If the period for reply specified above is les - If NO period for reply is specified above, th - Failure to reply within the set or extended p - Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF Status	the provisions of 37 CFR 1 the of this communication. Is than thirty (30) days, a re e maximum statutory perioperiod for reply will, by statuthree months after the mail.	I. I.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tte, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on <u>18</u>	<u> 3 April 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in closed in accordance with Disposition of Claims	n condition for allow h the practice unde	wance except for formal ma er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits i D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is
4) Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,10-15,18 a</u>	i <u>nd 19</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	wed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,10-15,18 aı</u>	nd 19 is/are rejecte	d.		
7) Claim(s) is/are obje	ected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject	t to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objecte	d to by the Examin	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 i</u>	February 2002 is/a	re: a) \square accepted or b) $oxtime $ ot	jected to by the Examiner.	
		he drawing(s) be held in abey		
11) The proposed drawing corr			disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected draw	-	• •		
12) The oath or declaration is o		ixaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 an	d 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made	· ·	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ □	None of:			
 Certified copies of the copies of the copies. 	ne priority documer	nts have been received.		
Certified copies of the	ne priority documer	nts have been received in A	application No	
	the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage received.	
			§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).
a) The translation of the f	foreign language pr	rovisional application has b	een received.	,
Attachment(s)		p under 00 0.0.0		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P		5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office				

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the fastener means for detachably securing the second end portion of the elastic strap to a second one of the shield pads (claims 1, 5, 10, 11, 13, 18 and 19) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 5-6, 10-15, 18 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities: in the last paragraph of claims 1, 10, 11, 18 and 19, "vehicle sides" should be --vehicle side surfaces--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 6, 10-15, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

5. Claims 1, 5, 6, 10-15, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: How does the fastener means detachably secure the second end portion of the elastic strap to a second one of the shield pads when in a rolled position as shown in Figure 6? In the drawings the second end of the elastic strap is fastened to the first panel. If the two panels were "rolled" so that the panels lay on top of each other, it would be understandable how the elastic strap could be connected to both panels.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 5, 11, 12, 13, 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spears (US Patent 4,810,013) in view of Huard (US Patent 4,974,892).

Spears discloses a removably attachable shielding to protect vehicle side surfaces and doors to prevent dents and scratches imposed by doors of adjacent vehicles, said shielding comprising: two shield pads (11a,11b) of impact absorbing

Art Unit: 3612

material, at least one strap (19,21,23) secured to a lateral edge portion (43) of each of said shield pads (11a,11b) to connect them together, an elastic strap (27) having an end thereof secured to an edge portion (45) of a first one (11a) of the shield pads, and fastener means (57) for detachably securing a second end portion (25) of the elastic strap (27) to a second one of the shield pads, whereby the protective shields are removably attachable to the vehicle side surfaces and doors, and removable therefrom and rollable together. The verb roll is defined as to cause to move forward along a surface by revolving on an axis or by repeatedly turning over. The shield pads (11a,11b) of Spears are revolved on an axis (located between the two pads) to move one of the pads forwardly and on top of the other shield pad. Therefore the shield pads of Spears are rollable. Regarding claim 11, Spears discloses that the two spaced apart straps extend between and are secured to lateral edge portions (43) of the two shield pads (11a,11b).

Page 4

Spears does not disclose the suction cups are used to attach the pads to the vehicle.

Huard discloses a shield pad for a vehicle which is attached to the vehicle by a suction cup. Regarding claim 12, Huard discloses two spaced apart suction cups to attach the shield to the door in Figure 3.

Huard and Spears are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, i.e., protective pads for vehicle sides and vehicle doors.

¹The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation; further reproduction

Art Unit: 3612

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to mount the shield pads with suction cups.

The motivation would have been to prevent the shield pads from moving around on the vehicle side when installed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Huard with Spears to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1, 11, 12.

Regarding claims 5, 13, 15 and 19, Spears as modified does not disclose cooperating male and female snap fasterners, one on an end portion of the elastic strap and the other on an edge portion of the shield pad. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include snap fastener means on the elastic straps. The motivation would have been to hold the two components together in the compact position so that when the door guard was stored in the trunk of the vehicle, it would stay together while the vehicle was being driven. There are many examples of two panels being held together in a compact position by an strap with snap fasteners. Some of the examples are a travel jewelry box, travel wardrobe suitcases, flexible briefcases. Although these examples are not door guards, they are two panels which are held closed by a strap with snap connections.

8. Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spears as modified as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, and further in view of McNeil.

Regarding claims 6 and 14, Spears does not disclose that the two spaced apart straps are secured to an edge portion of the shield by riveting. McNeil discloses that it

Application/Control Number: 10/061,569 Page 6

Art Unit: 3612

is known to attach straps to edge portions of shields by riveting (column 2, lines 65-66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to connect the straps to the shield pads by rivets as taught by McNeil. The motivation would have been to simplify the connection of the straps and the shields.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 10 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed April 18, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 11. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner has combined an excessive number of references, reliance on a large number of references in a rejection does not, without more, weigh against the obviousness of the claimed invention. See *In re Gorman*, 933 F.2d 982, 18 USPQ2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

The use of 3 references does not mean that there is a lack of obviousness in the prior art. However, the Examiner has now changed the rejection of claims 1, 5, 11-13, 15 and 19 to only use 2 references. McNeil is now only used to teach that it is know to use rivets to attach the elastic strap to the panels.

Art Unit: 3612

12. In response to applicant's argument that an umbrella is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, an umbrella is a teaching of a method of holding a rolled item rolled and would be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem of holding a rolled item rolled. Sleeping bags are another example of holding a flexible material in the rolled position with a strap attached to one end of the flexible material. Also, suitcases, flexible briefcases and travel jewelry boxes teach two panels being held in the closed or compact position with a strap and snap fasteners.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L Engle whose telephone number is (703) 306-5777. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Page 7

Art Unit: 3612

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Patricia L Engle

Examiner

Art Unit 3612

ple

May 9, 2003